

Many people assume that the goal of every country should be to produce more materials and goods.

To what extent do you agree or disagree that constantly increasing production is an appropriate goal?

These days, providing more products for this burgeoning population is a ~~controversy~~ controversial challenge. Too many say, to meet this challenge, not only should each country raise their productivity in ~~the~~ terms of commodities expeditiously, but also it had better consider this to a principle aim.

First of all, by increasing the productivity of a country unceasingly not only does it provide more job opportunities, but also facilitates lifestyle of people. In this respect, there are incontrovertible pieces of evidences that individuals in developed countries with a high rate of productivity have a more facilitated life ~~rather~~ than others. In addition, if this great amount of products can be retailed at a low price in some countries whose people are in poverty and famine or live in deplorable situation, the result of this philanthropic action will be a cornucopia of pleasure which can disseminate around the world and embolden ing other countries to take an example of this.

Secondly, producing a lot of goods with low quality is to the detriment of ~~the~~ a reputation of a country. As a case in this point, although China produces a lot of commodities, some believe their brands are in a lower quality and would rather buy others ~~s~~ brands belonging ~~belonged~~ to the Occident whose products have a higher quality. Therefore, if we have an intention of putting this aim in our priority of our country we should regard the quality.

To sum up, this aim is apposite ~~to~~ our world if we struggle to make products with a better quality and donate some of them to poor countries.